Comparison of Reaction Pathways for the Partial Oxidation of Propane over Vanadyl Ion-Exchanged Zeolite Beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$

Lin Luo,* Jay A. Labinger,† and Mark E. Davis^{*,1}

∗*Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125; and* † *Beckman Institute, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

Received August 14, 2000; revised February 19, 2001; accepted February 23, 2001; published online May 15, 2001

The reaction pathways for the oxidation of propane over VO-Hbeta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ **are investigated. Two methods are used in this study: (i) overall product selectivities are recorded as a function of conversion, and (ii) those species observed or speculated to exist are reacted individually over the catalysts. With VO-H-beta, propene is the primary product of propane oxidation and acetic acid is a sequential oxidation product of the propene, possibly form**ing through an acetone intermediate. $M_0_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ also **gives propene as the primary product of propane oxidation, and the propene thus formed oxidizes further to acrylic acid and acetone. Reactions of individual oxygenated compounds, e.g., propanal, acrolein, etc., confirm the superior oxidation features of the mixed metal oxide catalyst relative to the zeolite-based catalyst.** \circ 2001 **Academic Press**

Key Words: **propane oxidation; vanadium-containing zeolites; Mo–V–Te–Nb–oxide catalyst; reaction pathway.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional industrial production of oxygenates, such as acids, alcohols, and unsaturated nitriles, is achieved by oxidation of olefins (1). A potentially less costly alternative to the use of olefins is the direct transformation of light alkanes into oxygenates through a partial oxidation process. The main challenge for partial oxidation is that light alkanes are usually less reactive than the desired products, and further oxidation to total oxidation products, CO*x*, is thermodynamically favored. Despite this thermodynamic limitation, research on partial oxidation has yielded several successful processes that involve the partial oxidation of light alkanes, e.g., partial oxidation of *n*-butane to maleic anhydride by VPO (2) and ammoxidation of propane to acrylonitrile using V–Al–Sb–O (3).

Various catalyst systems have been studied for the partial oxidation of light alkanes including bulk mixed metal oxides (4–11), heteropolyacids (12–14), and molecular sieves (15– 19). Zeolite-based catalysts have been investigated for the

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: 626-568-8743. E-mail: mdavis@cheme.caltech.edu.

partial oxidation of propane and were found to be suitable matrices for isolating transition metals (15, 18). Moreover, the uniform pore structure of the zeolite can be used as a host for stabilizing small metal oxide clusters (20–24). During initial oxidation studies with zeolite-based catalysts, we found that vanadyl ion-exchanged zeolite beta (VO-H-beta) produced a considerable amount of acetic acid when reacting propane with oxygen. It appeared possible that more valuable oxygenates, e.g., acrylic acid, were produced and overoxidized to CO*x*, since feeding acrylic acid into this reaction system resulted in complete oxidation of the acid to CO_x at 350 $°C$, and some acetic acid at lower reaction temperatures. These findings motivated us to study the reaction pathways for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta. For comparison, we also report reaction pathways of propane partial oxidation over a "Mitsubishi type" catalyst, $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, one of the best catalysts for propane partial oxidation to acrylic acid (25).

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Catalysts Preparation

The starting materials used for the preparation of vanadyl ion-exchanged zeolite beta (VO-H-beta) were Na-zeolite beta (PQ Corp, Si $\mathsf{Al}=12$), NH₄NO₃ (Aldrich), and VOSO₄ (Aldrich). VO-H-beta was synthesized in three steps as follows: (1) Na-zeolite beta was ion-exchanged with 1 M $NH₄NO₃$ solution (50 mL of $NH₄NO₃$ solution/g of zeolite) overnight at 80° C three times, and the resulting NH₄-beta was washed with double-distilled water, filtered, and dried overnight in an 80◦C oven; (2) H-beta was formed by heating NH₄-beta at 500°C for 4 h in N₂; (3) VO-H-beta was prepared by ion-exchange of H-beta with $0.1 M VOSO₄$ solution (50 mL of $VOSO₄$ solution/g of zeolite) for 10 h at 60° C twice (26), and the resulting mixture was washed with double-distilled water, filtered, and dried overnight in an 80℃ oven. The composition of the VO-H-beta sample is $Si: Al: V = 25.2:1:0.61$, giving 1.66 wt% V (the elemental

analysis of VO-H-beta was done by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.).

 $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ was synthesized according to a Mitsubishi patent (25) and provided to us by BP Amoco. The XRD pattern of this catalyst is in good agreement with that described in the patent (25).

2.2. Catalytic Reactions

The catalytic reactions were carried out using a continuous-flow system (BTRS-Jr., Autoclave Engineers) with a fixed-bed stainless steel reactor. Before a reaction, the catalyst samples were pelletized and sieved to $-35/+60$ mesh size. A mixture of 0.5 mL of catalyst (0.20 g of VO-H-beta, or 0.70 g of $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ and 1 mL of silicon carbide was used for each run. Catalysts were pretreated at 350◦C for 1 h in a He flow. The reactions were carried out at temperatures from 250◦C to 450◦C under atmospheric pressure with a reactant molar ratio of propane : oxygen : H_2O : helium $= 4:2:4:5$ and a total flow of 15 mL/min.

Propane oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ was also conducted under conditions more similar to those of Example One in the Mitsubishi patent (25). A 1.75 g amount of $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ together with 2.5 mL of SiC was used for the reaction with a feed molar ratio of propane: O_2 : He = 1:3.15:11.85 and a total flow rate of 36.2 mL/min (space velocity of 1734 h⁻¹ with a catalyst density of 1.4 $g/cm³$); in the Mitsubishi patent (25), the amount of catalyst used was 0.37 g and the feed gas molar ratio was propane: $air = 1:15$ with a space velocity of $1734 h^{-1}$.

Product analysis was performed using a gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard G1800B) equipped with a mass spectrometer and a capillary column (Hewlett Packard, HP Plot-Q). Gaseous products were analyzed using online sampling, while liquid products were collected in an ice trap and analyzed after the completion of the run.

The conversion was defined as the fraction of consumed hydrocarbon of the moles of hydrocarbon fed to the reaction. Selectivities are the fractions of consumed hydrocarbon converted for each product. The contact times provided are the ratios of the catalyst volumes to the total flow rates of gaseous feeds.

2.3. Reaction Pathway Study

The reaction pathways were first studied by following the trends in product selectivities with respect to the reactant conversion. Both propane oxidation and propene oxidation were employed in this investigation. An amount of 0.20 g (0.5 mL) of VO-H-beta with 1.0 mL of SiC was used for this study, while only 17.5 mg to 105 mg of Mo_1V_0 ₃Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_{*x*} with 0.5 mL of SiC was employed in order to keep the conversion of reactants low. The temperature was set to 350◦C

and the molar feed gas ratio was propane (or propene) : O_2 : H_2O : He = 4:2:4:5. The contact time was varied to reach different conversion levels.

The reaction pathways were also studied by feeding oxygenates that are possible intermediates in the propane oxidation pathway to the reactor. These reactions were conducted at lower temperatures (250◦C or lower), since most of the oxygenates investigated here are highly reactive. A 0.20 g amount of VO-H-beta (0.5 mL) or 0.35 g of $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_r(0.25 mL)$ was used for this study with pretreatment at 350◦C for 1 h in He. For water-soluble oxygenates, the reactant input ratio used was oxygenate (10 mol% aqueous solution) : O_2 : He = 4:2:9. For waterinsoluble oxygenates, the reactant input ratio was oxygenate (pure) : O_2 : He = 0.4 : 2 : 12.6. The contact time was varied between 0.25 s to 4 s.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Partial Oxidation of Propane

3.1.1. Propane partial oxidation reaction over VO-Hbeta. The reaction products from propane oxidation over VO-H-beta were acetic acid, propene, CO, $CO₂$, and trace amounts of ethylene. At 350◦C, the conversion of propane was 1.6%, the selectivity to acetic acid 21.1%, and the selectivity to propene 20.9%. As the temperature was increased to 400◦C, the conversion of propane increased to 4.3% and the selectivity to acetic acid decreased to 14%. The specific values of conversion and selectivity are summarized in Table 1.

The reactivity for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta is not high under the conditions investigated. The turnover frequency per vanadium atom (TOF) of propane consumption is 7.4×10^{-4} s⁻¹ for VO-H-beta at 350°C. Assuming an activation energy of 100 kJ/mol and first-order dependence on propane concentration, these data extrapolate to a propane consumption rate of 2.3×10^{-4} s⁻¹ at 333°C. This rate is comparable to results of previous studies on isolated monovanadate species by Khodakov and co-workers (27).

TABLE 1

Propane Oxidation over VO-H-beta*^a*

Temp $(^{\circ}C)$	Conv(%)		Selectivity (%)					
	C_3H_8	O,			C_3H_6 C_2H_4 Acetic acid CO		CO ₂	
350	1.62	9.12	20.9		21.1	28.3	29.7	
400	4.27	25.4	13.6	1.2	13.9	38.0	33.4	
450	13.0	83.3	11.1	1.5	8.12	45.4	33.9	

^a Reaction conditions: 0.20 g of catalyst, molar feed ratio propane : O_2 : H_2O : $He = 4:2:4:5$, and 15 mL/min total flow rate.

		Conv $(\%)$	Selectivity (%)							
Temp $(^{\circ}C)$	C_3H_8	O ₂	C_3H_6	Acetone	Acetic acid	Propanoic acid	Acrylic acid	CO	CO ₂	
250^a	4.00	12.2	27.9	7.3	10.8	2.6	43.4	5.0	3.2	
300 ^a	12.3	44.9	19.8	3.2	12.6	1.7	51.0	6.1	5.6	
350^a	27.2	79.8	9.30	0.8	15.2	1.4	64.7	4.4	4.3	
400 ^b	58.3	63.3	3.16	0.0	4.6	0.0	39.2	26.2	26.6	
400 ^c	75.3						42.4			

Propane Oxidation over Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_{*x*}

^a Reaction conditions: 0.70 g of catalyst, molar feed ratio propane : O_2 : H_2O : He = 4:2:4:5, and 15 mL/min total flow rate.

b Reaction conditions: 1.75 g of catalyst, molar feed ratio propane : O_2 : He = 1:3.15:11.85, and 36.2 mL/min total flow rate.

 c^c Results reported in the Mitsubishi patent (25), with 0.37 g of catalyst, and a molar feed ratio propane : air = 1 : 15 at a space velocity of 1734 h^{-1} .

3.1.2. Propane partial oxidation reaction over Mo1V0.3 $Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x is one of the best catalysts reported for the partial oxidation of propane to acrylic acid. The reaction results obtained here with $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ are compared to those reported in the patent literature (Table 2). The major products observed under the reaction conditions used in the patent are acrylic acid and CO*x*. At oxygen-rich conditions like those reported in the patent literature, the conversion of propane was 58.3% and the selectivity to acrylic acid 39.2% at 400◦C. This selectivity is close to the results reported by Mitsubishi (propane conversion 75.3% and acrylic acid selectivity of 42.4%) (25).

The propane oxidation reaction was also performed in the presence of water with $Mo_1V_{0,3}Te_{0,23}Nb_{0,12}O_x$ under reaction conditions similar to those used with VO-H-beta. At 350◦C, the conversion of propane reached 27.2% and the selectivity to acrylic acid was 64.7%. Additionally, propane could be activated even at temperatures as low as 250◦C (Table 2).

3.2. Reaction Pathways: Trends in Product Selectivities

The reaction pathways of propane oxidation over VO-H-beta and $MO_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ were first examined by analyzing product selectivities as a function of propane conversion, and the oxidation behavior of propene at low conversions.

3.2.1. Reaction pathways for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta. The detected products from propane oxidation over VO-H-beta are propene, acetic acid, CO*x*, and trace amounts of acetone (less than 0.5% selectivity). At very low $\left(<1\% \right)$ propane conversion, the main product is propene. With an increase in the conversion, the selectivity to propene decreases while the selectivity to acetic acid increases (Fig. 1). In general, the primary products of

a given reaction can be discriminated from higher-order products by extrapolating product selectivities to zero conversion. Primary products have non-zero intercepts, while secondary and higher-order products have zero intercepts (5). For the case of propane oxidation over VO-H-beta, the data (Fig. 1) do not conclusively distinguish between zero or very low selectivities to acetic acid and CO*^x* at low conversion; however, it is clear that the dominant primary product is propene, and the main routes to acetic acid and CO_x involve secondary oxidation of propene.

Propene oxidation over VO-H-beta was investigated in order to gain further insights into the formation of acetic acid. At 350◦C, the main products are CO*x*, acetic acid, and acetone (Fig. 2). CO*^x* are the major products of propene oxidation, with extrapolated selectivities to acetic acid and acetone of around 22% and 13%, respectively. At temperatures

FIG. 1. Product selectivity profiles for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta: (\triangle) propene; (\bullet) acetic acid; (\bullet) CO; (\blacksquare) CO₂. Reaction temperature was 350°C. The molar feed gas ratio was $C_3H_8:O_2:H_2O:H_8=$ $4:2:4:5.$

FIG. 2. Product selectivity profiles for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta: (\bullet) acetic acid; (\blacktriangle) acetaldehyde; (\Diamond) acetone; (\Diamond) propanal; (◆) CO; (■) CO₂. Reaction temperature was 350[°]C. The molar feed gas ratio was $C_3H_6:O_2:H_2O:He = 4:2:4:5.$

lower than 250◦C, 2-propanol is the major product (Table 3). For example, the selectivity to 2-propanol is 88.3% at a propane conversion of 0.4% at 150◦C. As the temperature is increased from 150° C to 350° C, the selectivity to 2-propanol decreases monotonically to zero, the selectivity to acetone first increases to a maximum (6.7% selectivity) and decreases thereafter, and the selectivity to acetic acid increases to 19.6% at a propene conversion of 4.7%. Moro-oka suggests that olefin oxidation over acidic metal oxides occurs through an oxyhydration mechanism in which olefins are first hydrated to corresponding alcohols on acid sites and then oxidatively dehydrogenated to form ketones (28). The observations for propene oxidation over VO-H-beta are consistent with this oxyhydration reaction pathway. A study of the oxidation of acetone (Section 3.3.1) indicates that acetic acid is a major product from acetone. Although no direct relationship between acetic acid and acetone is demonstrated from the data shown in Fig. 2, we suggest that acetone is an intermediate for the formation of acetic acid. Since the selectivity to acetone declines steeply

TABLE 3

Propene Oxidation over VO-H-beta*^a*

Temp $(^{\circ}C)$	Conv $(\%)$		Selectivity (%)						
	C_3H_8				O_2 2-Propanol Acetone Acetic acid CO		CO ₂		
150	0.41	0.33	88.3	0.41	0	8.32	2.97		
200	0.67	1.87	59.3	5.10	6.91	16.0	12.7		
250	1.05	5.71	10.5	6.66	17.0	22.0	43.8		
350	4.65	38.6	0	3.92	19.6	19.3	51.6		

^a Reaction conditions: 0.20 g of catalyst, molar feed ratio propene : O_2 : H₂O : He = 4 : 2 : 4 : 5, and 15 mL/min total flow rate. Trace amounts of acetaldehyde and propanal were observed.

SCHEME 1. Main reaction pathways for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta.

with degree of conversion (Fig. 2) that corresponds to an increasing contact time, some formation of CO*^x* directly from acetone is also possible.

Oxygenates other than acetic acid and acetone, such as acetaldehyde, propanal, propanoic acid, acrolein, and traces of oligomer products, are observed from propene oxidation. The selectivities to these oxygenates are very low, generally below 2% under the reaction conditions used here. Thus, the formation of acetic acid from these oxygenates is not likely important.

The results from the oxidation of both propane and propene suggest that the reaction pathway for propane oxidation over VO-H-beta is that shown in Scheme 1. Propene is the primary product of propane oxidation, and acetic acid is mainly produced by sequential oxidation of propene, possibly through acetone. Intermediate oxygenates, such as acetone, can survive only at very low conversion. CO and CO2 are major products of propene oxidation.

3.2.2. Reaction pathways for propane oxidation over $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. The products from the oxidation of propane in the presence of water over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at 350°C are acrylic acid, propene, acetic acid, CO_x , and small amounts of acetone and propanoic acid. The selectivity to propene decreases while the selectivity of acrylic acid increases with increasing propane conversion (Fig. 3). For example, as propane conversion increases from 2.1% to 12.9%, the selectivity to propene decreases from 73.4% to 20.9%, and the selectivity to acrylic acid increases from 22.1% to 60.0%. When extrapolating to zero propane conversion, the selectivity to propene is 100%. Thus, propene is the primary product of propane oxidation; acrylic acid and other oxygenates (acetic acid, trace amount of acetone, and propanoic acid) are secondary or higherorder products. The rate of propane consumption at 350 °C with $C_3H_8:O_2:H_2O:He = 4:2:4:5$ at low conversions is 1.23×10^{-4} mol/(g_{cat} min) and is approximately an order of magnitude higher than that for VAPO-5 under the same conditions $(1.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{mol/(g_{cat} \cdot min)})$ (18).

Propene oxidation was also carried out over $Mo₁V_{0.3}$ $Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at 350°C to study the reaction pathways on going from propene to oxygenates (since propene is the only primary product of propane oxidation). The major products detected are acetone and acrylic acid. Smaller

FIG. 3. Product selectivity profiles for propane oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x: (\triangle)$ propene; (\square) acrylic acid; (\bullet) acetic acid; (\Diamond) acetone; (\times) propanoic acid; (\blacklozenge) CO_x. Reaction temperature was 350°C. The molar feed gas ratio was $C_3H_8:O_2:H_2O:H_2\rightarrow 4:2:4:5$.

amounts of acrolein, acetic acid, propanoic acid, and CO*^x* are also observed (Fig. 4). The selectivities to acetone and acrylic acid do not vary significantly with propene conversion. Upon extrapolating to zero propene conversion, the selectivities to acrylic acid and acetone are 67% and 18%, respectively. The other compounds have small but non-zero selectivity at zero propene conversion. Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that two major routes exist for propene oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$: (1) propene is oxidized to acrylic acid and (2) propene is oxidized to acetone.

By combining the propene and propane oxidation data, the main reaction pathways for propane oxidation are pro-

FIG. 4. Product selectivity profiles for propene oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$: (\square) acrylic acid; (\diamond) acetone; (\square) acrolein; (\times) propanoic acid; (\odot) propanal; (\bullet) acetic acid; (\bullet) CO_x. Reaction temperature was 350°C. The molar feed gas ratio was C_3H_6 : O_2 : H_2O : He = $4:2:4:5$.

SCHEME 2. Main reaction pathway scheme for propane oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$.

posed in Scheme 2: propane is first oxidized to propene that is then oxidized to acetone and acrylic acid.

It is interesting to note the differences in selectivies to acetone for propane and propene oxidation. The selectivity to acetone is very low (<2% under all conditions tested) in the former case, while it is relatively high (\sim 19%) in the latter case. In a separate experiment, propane and propene were simultaneously fed to the reactor at ratios varying from 0 to 0.75 (C_3H_8/C_3H_6). The selectivity to acetone did not vary with the introduction of propane. Additionally, the rate of consumption for pure propane for low conversions at 350°C with $C_3H_8:O_2:H_2O:H_8$ of 4 : 2 : 4 : 5 is approximately an order of magnitude lower than the propene consumption rate $(C_3H_6:O_2:H_2O:H_6)$ 4 : 2 : 4 : 5). These data suggest the presence of two different sites on $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$; one site is able to activate propane and propene to acrylic acid, while the other converts only propene to acetone.

3.3. Reaction Pathway Method II: Oxidation of Possible Oxygenates

3.3.1. Oxidation of individual possible intermediates. The oxidation of oxygenated species that are possibly involved in the propane oxidation reaction was investigated over VO-H-beta and Mo1V0.3Te0.23Nb0.12O*x*. The oxygenated species chosen are those observed in the reaction results presented above. Acetic acid and trace amounts of acetone are observed in the propane oxidation over VO-H-beta (Table 1), while acrylic acid, acetic acid, acetone, and trace amounts of propanoic acid are obtained from propane oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ (Table 2). 2-Propanol is observed from propene oxidation over VO-H-beta at temperatures under 250° C, and small amounts of propanal and acrolein are observed from propene oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. These compounds may also be involved in propane oxidation; however, they appear to be too reactive or in too low concentration to be detected under our reaction conditions. The detection of propanal and propanoic acid may indicate the presence of 1-propanol as an intermediate species. Hence, individual transformations of 2-propanol, 1-propanol, propanal, acrolein, acetone, acrylic acid, and acetic acid over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ were investigated.

2-Propanol. Almost all the 2-propanol fed is consumed over both catalysts (>99.5% conversion) at 250◦C; however, the product distributions are quite different for these two catalysts. With VO-H-beta, the dehydration product, propene, is dominant (more than 90% selectivity at contact time 2 s) at 250◦C. Only small amounts of acetone, acetic acid, and CO_x are observed at this temperature (Fig. 5). At 150 $°C$, the product distribution is similar to that at 250 $°C$, with the addition of the condensation product, diisopropyl ether.

For $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, the major products are acetone, acrylic acid, propene, and acetic acid at 250◦C (Fig. 5). At a contact time of 2 s, the selectivity to propene is 11%, while the selectivities to acetone and acrylic acid are 41% and 25%, respectively. At 150◦C, acetone and propene are the major products with selectivities of 66% and 21%, respectively, at 50% 2-propanol conversion. No acrylic acid is observed at 150◦C.

1-Propanol. Similar to the case of 2-propanol, 1 propanol is almost completely consumed over both catalysts at 250◦C (>99.5% conversion) (Fig. 6). For VO-Hbeta, the dehydration product, propene, is the dominant product (selectivity higher than 95% under our test conditions). Small amounts of propanal are observed with a selectivity of 0.8% at 2 s contact time. Additionally, there are trace amounts of other products, such as acetone, acetic acid, and acetaldehyde. These products each have selectivities less than 0.5% and may result from the oxidation of propene. At 150◦C, ether formation from intermolecular dehydration is the major product from 1-propanol.

For $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, the dominant product is propanoic acid with a selectivity of 79.0% at 250◦C (contact time of 2 s). Only small amounts of propene are observed (selectivity of 1.8%). No propanal is obtained at 250 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, while a large amount of propanal (selectivity of 38.7% at

FIG. 5. Conversion and product distribution of 2-propanol oxidation over VO-H-beta and $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at 250°C and 2 s contact time with a molar feed gas ratio of 2-propanol : $H_2O:O_2$: He = 0.4 : 3.6 : 2 : 9.

FIG. 6. Conversion and product distribution of 1-propanol oxidation over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at 250°C and 2 s contact time with a molar feed gas ratio of 1-propanol: $H_2O:O_2$: He = 0.4:3.6:2:9. "Other" here refers to acetic acid, acetaldehyde, and acetone.

a conversion of 20.6%) is produced at 150 $°C$. Thus, it is reasonable to consider propanal as an oxidation product of 1-propanol, and propanoic acid as a further oxidation product of propanal.

Propanal. The detected products of propanal oxidation over VO-H-beta are propanoic acid, acetic acid, acetaldehyde, and large amounts of CO_x (Fig. 7). The selectivity to propanoic acid is 19.5% (contact time 2 s and 250◦C) at 60% conversion. The formation of propanoic acid from propanal indicates that VO-H-beta has oxidation capability for terminal $HC=O$. However, total oxidation products are dominant in propanal oxidation over this catalyst under the conditions tested here (selectivity to CO_x is 54%).

High selectivity to propanoic acid and little CO*^x* are observed for propanal oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$.

FIG. 7. Conversion and product distribution of propanal oxidation over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at $250°C$ and 2 s contact time with a molar feed gas ratio of propanal : O_2 : He = 0.4 : 2 : 12.6. "Other" here refers to ethylene.

Even at complete consumption of propanal, the selectivity to propanoic acid at 250◦C is 58% at a contact time of 2 s and 79% at a contact time of 1 s. At 150◦C and a contact time of 1 s, the selectivity to propanoic acid increases to 91% with a propanal conversion of 44%.

Acrolein. When acrolein is oxidized over VO-H-beta, only small amounts of acrylic acid (3.1% selectivity at a conversion of 7.8%) are observed at 250◦C (contact time 2 s) and total oxidation products are dominant (Fig. 8). These results suggest that the oxidation of the double bond of acrolein is easier than the oxidation of $HC=O$ at the allylic position.

Acrolein is very reactive over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ and acrylic acid is the dominant product at 250◦C (Fig. 8). When the contact time is 2 s, the conversion of acrolein is 92% and the selectivity to acrylic acid is 94%. Thus, the double bond of acrolein is preserved. These results indicate that $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ has superior allylic oxidation character.

Since acrylic acid is the dominant product of acrolein oxidation with $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ and the oxidation of propene yields a selectivity to acrolein that decreases significantly with increasing conversion of propene (Fig. 4), it is likely that acrolein is an intermediate in the oxidation of propene to acrylic acid.

Acetone. The products of acetone oxidation over VO-H-beta are CO*x*, acetic acid, and isobutene (Fig. 9). The selectivity to isobutene is 28% at 250◦C at 20% conversion. The formation of isobutene is catalyzed by the acidity of VO-H-beta. The acetone conversion to isobutene can take place via acid-catalyzed aldolization and dehydration with subsequent cracking (29–31). For the $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ catalyst, acetic acid and CO*^x* are the only products formed, and no isobutene is observed. This suggests that $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ has a lower acidity than VO-H-beta.

FIG. 8. Conversion and product distribution of acrolein oxidation over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at $250^{\circ}C$ and 2 s contact time with a molar feed gas ratio of acrolein : O_2 : He = 0.4 : 2 : 12.6.

FIG. 9. Conversion and product distribution of acetone oxidation over VO-H-beta and $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ at 250°C and 2 s contact time with a molar feed gas ratio of acetone : $H_2O:O_2$: $He = 0.4:3.6:2:9$.

To confirm that acetic acid results from $C-C(=O)$ cracking of acetone, the oxidation of 3-pentanone was performed over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. As expected, propanoic acid, acetic acid, and acetaldehyde are the major oxidation products for both catalysts.

Acids. Compared to alcohols and aldehydes, acrylic acid and acetic acid are very stable at 250◦C over both VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0,3}Te_{0,23}Nb_{0,12}O_x$ catalysts. The conversions of acrylic acid over both VO-H-beta and $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ are less than 6.5% at a contact time of 2 s. However, much more total oxidation products are formed with VO-H-beta. The conversions of acetic acid over both VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ are less than 5% at a contact time of 2 s and CO*^x* are the only products.

3.3.2. Reaction network and catalytic behavior of propane oxidation over $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ *.* The exact reaction pathway of propane oxidation may vary from one catalyst to another. Broadly speaking, three major reaction routes are possible starting from propane (Scheme 3) (32). In the first route, propane is oxidized to 1-propanol, then to propanal, and finally to propanoic acid. In the second route, propane forms propene by oxidative dehydrogenation, that is oxidized to allyl alcohol, acrolein, and acrylic acid. In the third route, propane is oxidized to 2-propanol, and 2 propanol is oxidized to acetone and acetic acid. This reaction network may be further complicated by transformations between intermediates of different routes.

Based on the study of individual oxygenates (Section 3.3.1) and the trends in product selectivities as a function of conversion (Section 3.2), the reaction pathway described by route II (Scheme 3) is favored over routes III and I for propane oxidation over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. A more detailed reaction network is shown in Scheme 4. Propane is first dehydrogenated to propene and most of the formed

SCHEME 3. Reaction network for the oxidation of propane and propene proposed by Bettahar and co-workers (32).

propene reacts further to acrolein and acrylic acid through allylic oxidation. Allyl alcohol may be an intermediate in the reaction of propene to acrolein. Allyl alcohol injected to our GC system is completely converted to acrolein at temperatures under 200◦C. Therefore, the collected liquid products were analyzed by ${}^{1}\text{H}$ and ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR. No evidence for allyl alcohol was obtained, suggesting that allyl alcohol, if formed, is too reactive to be detected under our reaction conditions. A small amount of propene is hydrated to 2 propanol and then oxidized to acetone. Trace amounts of observed propanal and propanoic acid may result from the oxidation of 1-propanol as shown in Section 3.3.1. However, since 1-propanol is not observed from propane and propene oxidation, it is not clear whether the formation of propanal and propanoic acid results from oxidation of 1-propanol or from some other reaction pathway such as isomerization of allyl alcohol (33).

The transformation of propane to acrylic acid requires two major oxidation steps: terminal oxygenation and dehy-

 $CH_3CH_2CH_3$ $[CH_3CH_2CH_2OH]$ somerization [CH₂=CH-CH₂OH] $CH_3CH_2CHO \rightarrow\rightarrow CH_2=CH-CHO$ **CH3COCH3** ⊾сн,соон CH₃CH₂COOH $\star\bullet$ CH₂=CH-COOH

SCHEME 4. Reaction network for propane oxidation over $Mo₁V_{0.3}$ $Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. Shaded arrow refers to main reaction pathway; compounds with underlines refer to the main products involved in propane and propene oxidation reaction.

drogenation. As shown above, propene is the primary product of propane oxidation. Acrylic acid is a dominant product when either propene or acrolein is used as reactant, while no acrylic acid is produced when propanal is employed. These results suggest that the oxidation of propane to acrylic acid must first go through a dehydrogenation prior to terminal oxygenation, but not vice versa.

The formation of acrylic acid is favored at high temperatures, while the formation of acetone is observed at low temperatures. The allylic oxidation of propene to acrylic acid over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ is found to occur at temperatures higher than 150◦C; only trace amounts of acrylic acid are observed at 150◦C where acetone is the major product. As the temperature is raised, the selectivity to acrylic acid increases, the selectivity to acetone decreases, and acrylic acid becomes the major product by 300◦C. This trend is also observed for the oxidation of 2-propanol. When 2-propanol is injected to the reaction feed stream at 150◦C, no acrylic acid is observed at all, while large amounts of acetone and propene are formed. As the temperature is increased to $200\degree$ C and higher, acrylic acid is formed.

3.3.3. Reaction network and catalytic behavior of propane oxidation over VO-H-beta. The results of the oxidation of individual oxygenates over VO-H-beta (Section 3.3.1) are consistent with the reaction network for propane oxidation proposed in Scheme 1. Propane is first dehydrogenated to propene and acetic acid is a further oxidation product of the propene. Since acetic acid is one of the major products from oxidation of acetone, acetone could be an intermediate for the formation of acetic acid. Total oxidation products, CO*x*, are the major direct products of propene oxidation. No acrolein or acrylic acid is produced from propane oxidation over VO-H-beta. Scheme 5 shows the details of the reaction network proposed here.

SCHEME 5. Reaction network for propane oxidation over VO-Hbeta. Shaded arrow refers to main reaction pathway; compounds with underlines refer to the main products involved in propane and propene oxidation reaction.

The results from reacting individual oxygenates over VO-H-beta indicate that acidity plays a major role in catalysis. Dehydration is an important step over VO-H-beta when 1-propanol and 2-propanol are the reactants. This leads to high selectivity for propene through intramolecular dehydration and formation of ether through intermolecular dehydration at lower temperature (150◦C). The acidity also plays a critical role in the formation of isobutene from the oxidation of acetone over VO-H-beta. Large amounts of CO_x are observed in the oxidation of propanal and acrolein over VO-H-beta, with relatively little of their expected partial oxidation products, propanoic acid and acrylic acid, respectively.

3.4. Comparison of Catalytic Behavior of Propane Oxidation over VO-H-beta and Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x

By investigating the reactivity of individual oxygenates over VO-H-beta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, it is found that the main differences between these two catalysts are their acidity, capability for allylic oxidation, and the extent of total oxidation. As stated above, VO-H-beta has a much higher acidity than $MO_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. Most reactions over VO-H-beta are acid-driven, while for $MO_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ oxidation is more important. Comparing 2-propanol and 1-propanol oxidation over VO-Hbeta and $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, the dehydration products are almost the only products formed over VO-H-beta, while oxidation products (acetone and acrylic acid for 2-propanol, and propanal and propanoic acid for 1-propanol) are dominant products over $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$.

The allylic oxidation capability of VO-H-beta is poor compared to the excellent performance of $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}$ $Nb_{0.12}O_x$. For VO-H-beta, no acrylic acid is observed from propene oxidation and only small amounts of acrylic acid are formed from the oxidation of acrolein. As allylic oxidation is an important step in the oxidation of propane to acrylic acid, this function needs to be greatly enhanced for further improvement of VO-H-beta.

Total oxidation is more severe over the zeolite-based catalyst compared to the bulk oxide catalyst. Besides deep oxidation from propane and propene, large amounts of CO_x are observed in the oxidation of individual oxygenates over VO-H-beta. This could be due to factors such as acidity, high surface area, and long diffusion time in contact with the catalytic active sites resulting from the microporous structure of zeolites. The behavior of VO-Hbeta in oxidation of propanal and acrolein is particularly notable. These reactions involve oxidations of relatively weak C–H bonds and hence should be relatively facile, yet both exhibit relatively low activity and give little of the expected products, the respective carboxylic acids, compared to $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$ (Figs. 7 and 8). In contrast, for other apparently more difficult oxidations (e.g., C–C bond cleavage of acetone, Fig. 9) the VO-H-beta catalyst is as active as or more so than $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$. Such substrate-specific behavior is obviously crucial in determining successful catalysts for complex reactions, such as oxidation of propane to acrylic acid, and presumably is intimately connected with the detailed active site structure. Understanding these connections will be a major challenge for future research in the field.

4. CONCLUSION

By investigating the trends in product selectivities as a function of propane and propene conversion and the transformation of individual oxygenates, propene is found to be the primary product for propane oxidation over VO-Hbeta, and acetic acid is a sequential oxidation product of the formed propene possibly through an acetone intermediate. With $Mo₁V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.12}O_x$, propene is the primary product for propane oxidation and the propene thus formed oxidizes further to acrylic acid and acetone. Reaction of individual oxygenates also reveals the superior partial oxidation features of the mixed metal oxide catalyst relative to the zeolite-based catalyst.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by BP Amoco. L.L. thanks Dr. Masaki Okamoto for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Grasselli, R. K., *J. Chem. Educ.* **63**, 216–221 (1986).
- 2. Hodnett, B. K., *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.* **27**, 373–424 (1985).
- 3. Centi, G., Grasselli, R. K., and Trifiro, F., *Catal. Today* **13**, 661–666 (1992).
- 4. Chaar, M. A., Patel, C., and Kung, H. H., *J. Catal.* **109**, 463–467 (1988).
- 5. Michaels, J. N., Stern, D. L., and Grasselli, R. K., *Catal. Lett.* **42**, 135– 137 (1996).
- 6. Hardman, H. F., U.S. Patent 4, 225,284, 1981.
- 7. Mazzocchia, C., Aboumrad, C., Diagne, C., Tempesti, E., Herrmann, J. M., and Thomas, G., *Catal. Lett.* **10**, 181–191 (1991).
- 8. Ai, M., *Catal. Today* **42**, 297–301 (1998).
- 9. Kim, Y. C., Ueda, W., and Moro-oka, Y., *Chem. Lett.* **12**, 2173–2176 (1889).
- 10. Kim, Y. C., Ueda, W., and Moro-oka, Y., *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **10**, 652–653 (1889).
- 11. Bartek, J. P., Ebner, A. M., and Brazdil, J. F., U.S. Patent 5,198,580, 1993.
- 12. Li, W., Oshihara, K., and Ueda, W., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **182**, 357–363 (1999).
- 13. Misono, M., Mizuno, N., Koyano, G., and Lu, X., *Stud. Surf. Sci., Catal.* **110**, 35–42 (1997).
- 14. Moffat, J. B., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **146**, 65–86 (1996).
- 15. Centi, G., and Trifiro, F., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **143**, 3–16 (1996).
- 16. Concepcion, P., Lopez Nieto, J. M., and Perez-Pariente, J., *Catal. Lett.* **19**, 333–337 (1993).
- 17. Blasco, T., Concepcion, P., Lopez Nieto, J. M., and Perez-Pariente, J., *J. Catal.* **152**, 1–17 (1995).
- 18. Okamoto, M., Luo, L., Labinger, J. A., and Davis, M. E., *J. Catal.* **192**, 128–136 (2000).
- 19. Salem, G. F., Besecker, C. J., Kenzig, S. M., Kowlaski, W. J., and Cirjak, L. M., U.S. Patent 5,306,858, 1994.
- 20. Hathaway, P. E., and Davis, M. E., *J. Catal.* **116**, 263–278 (1989).
- 21. Hathaway, P. E., and Davis, M. E., *J. Catal.* **116**, 279–284 (1989).
- 22. Tsuji, H., Yagi, F., Hattori, H., and Kita, H., *in* "Proceedings, 10th International Congress on Catalysis, Budapest, 1992" (L. Guczi, F. Solymosi, and P. Telenyi, Eds.), p. 1171. Akadémiai Kiadò, Budapest, 1993.
- 23. Brownscombe, T. F., U.S. Patent 5,053,372, 1991.
- 24. Yoo, J. S., *Catal. Today* **41**, 409–432 (1998).
- 25. Ushikubo, T., Nakamura, H., Koyasu, Y., and Wajiki, S., EP 0608838A2, 1994.
- 26. Komorek, J., Romotowski, T., Serwicka, E. M., and Mastikhin, V. M., *Zeolites* **14**, 629–634 (1994).
- 27. Khodakov, A., Olthaf, B., Bell, A. T., and Iglesia, E., *J. Catal.* **181**, 205–216 (1999).
- 28. Moro-oka, Y., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **181**, 323–329 (1999).
- 29. Hutchings, G. J., Johnson, P., Lee, D. F., and Williams, C. D., *Catal. Lett.* **21**, 49–53 (1993).
- 30. Hutchings, G. J., Johnston, P., Lee, D. F., Williams, C. D., and Wilkinson, M., *J. Catal.* **147**, 177–185 (1994).
- 31. Kubelkova, L., Cejka, J., Novakova, J., Bosacek, V., Jirka, I., and Jiru, P., *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **49**, 1203–1212 (1989).
- 32. Bettahar, M. M., Costentin, G., Savary, L., and Lavalley, J. C., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **145**, 1–8 (1996).
- 33. Hoang-Van, C., and Zegaoui, O., *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **164**, 91–103 (1997).